

May 13, 2021

VIA E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL Keene.Simonds@sdcounty.ca.gov

Andrew Vanderlaan Chair San Diego LAFCO 9335 Hazard Way San Diego, CA 92123

Jim Desmond Vice Chair San Diego LAFCO 9335 Hazard Way San Diego, CA 92123

Re: San Diego LAFCO Twitter

Dear Chair Vanderlaan and Vice Chair Desmond:

Our firm represents the San Diego County Water Authority. Today, the Water Authority discovered that San Diego LAFCO has posted the following tweet, which is quoting and retweeting materials from Rainbow MWD and Fallbrook PUD's public relations campaign supporting detachment, and which further links to a Voice of San Diego opinion piece from the presidents of both of those agencies supporting detachment:

@sdlafco			
nd @Rainbow nvolving detac Vater Authorit Riverside Coun	MWD proposition thment from the second secon	arding @fallbro sing a reorganiza the San Diego C nd annexation to AWD (@Eastern com/watch?v=q	ation ounty o the <mark>Muni).</mark>
🕐 Water Mark 🚰		0.000 Meta2000 00110.00	
		MWD Want Out of the @	SDCWA.
	er e		
		ow water customers have	
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun	ity Water Authority comp	
	the San Diego Coun	ity Water Authority comp	
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY	
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben	ity Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges	
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a	ity Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD	bared to the
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed	
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD & Year	ity Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD	bared to the
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD &	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed Charges (Actual Paid)	ME Fair Share
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD & Year 2010	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed Charges (Actual Paid) \$2,764,056 \$4,322,772	ME Fair Share \$1,998,344 \$2,545,010
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD & Year 2010 2011	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed Charges (Actual Paid) \$2,764,056 \$4,322,772 \$4,924,066	ME Fair Share \$1,998,344 \$2,545,010 \$2,934,981
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD & Year 2010 2011 2012 2013	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed Charges (Actual Paid) \$2,764,056 \$4,322,772 \$4,924,066 \$5,608,001	ME Fair Share \$1,998,344 \$2,545,010 \$2,934,981 \$3,124,415
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD & Year 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed Charges (Actual Paid) \$2,764,056 \$4,322,772 \$4,924,066 \$5,608,001 \$5,920,868	ME Fair Share \$1,998,344 \$2,545,010 \$2,934,981 \$3,124,415 \$3,217,095
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Counived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD 6 Year 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed Charges (Actual Paid) \$2,764,056 \$4,322,772 \$4,924,066 \$5,608,001 \$5,520,868 \$5,794,826	ME Fair Share \$1,998,344 \$2,545,010 \$2,934,981 \$3,124,415 \$3,212,095 \$3,413,438
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD & Year 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed Charges (Actual Paid) \$2,764,056 \$4,322,772 \$4,924,066 \$5,608,001 \$5,920,868 \$5,794,826 \$6,815,783	ME Fair Share \$1,998,344 \$2,545,010 \$2,934,981 \$3,124,415 \$3,217,095 \$3,413,438 \$4,209,883
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD & Year 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed Charges (Actual Paid) \$2,764,056 \$4,322,772 \$4,924,066 \$5,608,001 \$5,920,868 \$5,794,826 \$6,815,783 \$6,627,607	ME Fair Share \$1,998,344 \$2,545,010 \$2,934,981 \$3,124,415 \$3,217,095 \$3,413,438 \$4,209,883 \$4,195,347
nearly \$50 million to	the San Diego Coun ived, according to a SDCWA No-Ben Rainbow MWD & Year 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	ty Water Authority comp study bit.ly/3tJu8RY efit Charges Fallbrook PUD FPUD & RMWD Fixed Charges (Actual Paid) \$2,764,056 \$4,322,772 \$4,924,066 \$5,608,001 \$5,920,868 \$5,794,826 \$6,815,783	ME Fair Share \$1,998,344 \$2,545,010 \$2,934,981 \$3,124,415 \$3,217,095 \$3,413,438 \$4,209,883

SD LAFCO May 13, 2021 Page 2

This appears to be a conflict of interest, in which LAFCO appears to be endorsing the position of agencies who have a pending application before LAFCO. Our firm and the Water Authority General Counsel called LAFCO counsel at about 10:00 a.m. today as soon as we saw the Twitter post, and demanded that it be immediately taken down by LAFCO. LAFCO still, as of 3:00 p.m. today when this letter is being sent, has not removed the retweet of the biased material.

In the midst of this controversial issue pending before LAFCO, now under review by an expert consultant retained by LAFCO, and as a matter that is coming before your Commission for decision within the next year, we found this post exceptionally troubling, and we are very concerned that it indicates bias on the part of San Diego LAFCO.

First, you should know that the retweet is of *inaccurate facts* that are being promoted by a PR campaign orchestrated in San Diego County by the agencies seeking to detach. This campaign is intended to spread misinformation about detachment before LAFCO's own substantive review process is completed, in order to improperly influence the LAFCO outcome, without regard to the merits of the applications. LAFCO should not consider the information from this PR campaign to be anything other than advertising "spin." For LAFCO staff to promote this campaign by using LAFCO's Twitter feed to broadcast this misinformation -- with what the public would perceive as LAFCO's stamp of approval -- is unconscionable.

Second, you should know that the Water Authority has previously expressed concerns about the impartiality of this proceeding to LAFCO staff. When the Water Authority had its very first meeting with LAFCO Executive Officer Keene Simonds and LAFCO counsel Holly Whatley on August 20, 2019, they informed LAFCO of a significant issue: that in May of 2019, when Rainbow MWD General Manager Tom Kennedy first told Water Authority General Manager Sandy Kerl that Rainbow and Fallbrook were intending to initiate detachment proceedings, Mr. Kennedy stated that there would be no point in the Water Authority even opposing detachment because it had already been pre-arranged at LAFCO. The Water Authority also informed Mr. Simonds and Ms. Whatley that responses to Public Records Act requests had shown that LAFCO staff had been working with these agencies for many months without providing any notice to the Water Authority. Mr. Simonds and Ms. Whatley assured the Water Authority that LAFCO would be a neutral evaluator of the *merits* of the detachment application, and no predeterminations had been made.

Demand is hereby made again that LAFCO immediately remove this retweet and quote tweet. Further, the Water Authority respectfully requests assurances from LAFCO that this kind of Twitter event will not happen again, and that the reorganization applications will be processed in a neutral and unbiased manner based on the data and information provided by the parties, now being assessed by the expert consultant. We also request an explanation of how the Twitter post came to be posted by LAFCO and what LAFCO's policies are regarding social media with respect to matters pending before LAFCO.

Very truly yours,

turaawen

Kristina D. Lawson Managing Partner

SD LAFCO May 13, 2021 Page 3

KDL:sa

cc via email:

Water Authority Board of Directors Sandra L. Kerl, General Manager, San Diego County Water Authority Mark Hattam, General Counsel, San Diego County Water Authority Keene Simonds, SD LAFCO Executive Officer Holly Whatley, SD LAFCO Commission Counsel Adam Wilson, SD LAFCO Moderator Tom Kennedy, General Manager, Rainbow MWD Jack Bebee, General Manager, Fallbrook PUD Alfred Smith, Counsel, Rainbow MWD Paula C. P. de Sousa, Counsel, Fallbrook PUD Nick Kanetis, Deputy General Manager, Eastern MWD