

**SAN DIEGO LAFCO  
MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING  
OCTOBER 3, 2005**

**2**

There being a quorum present, the meeting was convened at 9:08 a.m. by Chairman Bud Pocklington. Also present were: Regular Commissioners – Councilmember Donna Frye, Supervisor Bill Horn, Supervisor Dianne Jacob, Andrew Menshek, and Andrew Vanderlaan; Alternate Commissioners – Deputy Mayor Christy Guerin and John S. Ingalls; LAFCO and County Staff - Executive Officer Michael Ott; Chief, Governmental Services, Ingrid Hansen; Local Governmental Analyst, Robert Barry; and LAFCO Legal Counsel William Smith. Absent were: Regular Commissioners – Councilmembers Patty Davis and Betty Rexford; Alternate Commissioner – Supervisor Greg Cox and Harry Mathis.

**Item 1**  
**Approval of Minutes**  
**of Meeting Held September 12, 2005**

With Commissioner Donna Frye abstaining, on motion of Commissioner Vanderlaan, seconded by Commissioner Horn, the commissioners dispensed with reading the minutes of September 12, 2005, and approved said minutes.

**Item 2**  
**Executive Officer's Recommended Agenda Revisions**

Michael Ott indicated that there were no revisions to the agenda.

**Item 3**  
**Commissioner/Executive Officer Announcement**

Michael Ott indicated that there were no Commissioner/Executive announcements.

**Item 4**  
**Public Comment**

No members of the public requested to speak.

**Item 5**  
**Proposed "Dissolution of County Service Area No. 86 (Watson Place)" (DT04-34)**

Michael Ott provided a staff report to the Commission. He requested that the following be added to the Terms and Conditions of the Dissolution:

"All utility easements, including those owned by the Padre Dam Municipal Water District shall not be affected by the Dissolution."

Chairman Pocklington opened the public hearing.

With no members of the public wishing to speak in support or in opposition of the item, and no discussion from the Commission, Chairman Pocklington closed the public hearing.

On motion of Commissioner Jacob, seconded by Commissioner Horn, and carried unanimously by the commissioners present, the Commission took the following actions:

- 1) Certified that the determination by the County of San Diego, that this dissolution is exempt by Section 15061(b)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines has been reviewed and considered; and
- 2) Adopted the form of resolution approving the dissolution of County Service Area No. 86 (Watson Place) for the reasons set forth in the Executive Officer's Report, and delegated to the Executive Officer responsibility for holding conducting authority proceedings subject to the following terms and conditions:
  - a. Upon dissolution of CSA No. 86 (Watson Place), Watson Place will revert to private road status.
  - b. The City of Santee shall not under any circumstances assume responsibility for any aspect of maintenance, repair, or reconstruction of Watson Place or any associated infrastructure or appurtenances.
  - c. The City of Santee shall not assume any form of past, current, or future liability for Watson Place or any associated infrastructure or appurtenances.
  - d. Upon dissolution of CSA No. 86 (Watson Place), the City of Santee shall continue to provide public services, such as police, fire, and paramedic services within the former boundaries of CSA No. 86 (Watson Place).
  - e. The City of Santee, according to Government Code § 57451 will become successor agency solely for the purpose of winding-up the affairs of CSA No. 86 (Watson Place).
  - f. Upon closure of the affairs of CSA No. 86 (Watson Place), any unspent CSA No. 86 funds will be conveyed to the City of Santee for the City's discretionary use.
  - g. All utility easements, including those owned by the Padre Dam Municipal Water District shall not be affected by the dissolution.
  - h. Upon dissolution of CSA No. 86 (Watson Place), the base amount and annual increment of one percent property tax revenue allocated to CSA No. 86 (Watson Place) will be reallocated to the City of Santee for the City's discretionary use.

## **Item 6**

### **City of El Cajon Preliminary Sphere of Influence Review**

Robert Barry, Local Governmental Analyst, provided a PowerPoint presentation to the Commission.

Stephen Gilmore, public member, addressed the Commission in support of LAFCO staff's recommendation.

James Griffin, representative for the City of El Cajon, addressed the Commission with a request for LAFCO staff to consider proposed annexations to the City that are within its sphere of influence.

In response to a question from Chairman Pocklington, Michael Ott indicated that LAFCO staff will formerly request (by correspondence) that the City of El Cajon and the County of San Diego respond to the issues that were addressed in the staff report to the Commission. He indicated that approximately two months is needed to determine whether or not LAFCO staff will conduct a comprehensive sphere study update for the City of El Cajon or affirm/amend the existing one.

Commissioner Dianne Jacob thanked LAFCO staff for their efforts of conducting proper planning for the City of El Cajon.

On motion of Commissioner Menshek, seconded by Commissioner Jacob, and carried unanimously by the commissioners present, the Commission took the following actions:

Ratified the following guidelines:

1. All pending annexations that involve sphere of influence amendments will be considered incomplete and not deliberated by LAFCO unless the City or the landowner has provided compelling justification (e.g., documented failing septic systems; pending or impending health, safety, or welfare issues that need to be addressed through annexation; limited expansion of existing structures that require the extension of public services, etc.).
2. LAFCO staff will only schedule items located within the sphere of influence of the City of El Cajon for Commission consideration if the jurisdictional issues outlined in the staff report have been addressed to the satisfaction of the Executive Officer, or if an impasse has been reached regarding issue resolution.
3. All future El Cajon annexation proposals involving a sphere amendment that are not accompanied with compelling justification (e.g., documented health and safety issues) will be held as incomplete until the jurisdictional issues have been satisfactorily addressed.
4. The Executive Officer is authorized to prepare a letter requesting the City of El Cajon and the County of San Diego to respond to the issues addressed in the October 3, 2005 LAFCO staff report.
5. Direct the Executive Officer to return with a report analyzing the responses requested in recommendation No. 4 and a determination of whether the City of El Cajon sphere should be reaffirmed or comprehensively updated.

There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting adjourned at 9:30 a.m. to the November 7, 2005 meeting, in Rooms 302-303, County Administration Center.

**TITA JACQUE CAYETANO**  
**Administrative Assistant**